

Eugene T. Franklin [SBN 124881]  
Barbara F. Green [SBN 150320]  
Franklin Employment Law Group  
Attorneys at Law  
22762 Main Street  
Hayward, California 94541  
Telephone: (510) 538-0969  
Facsimile: (510) 538-6502

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Steven D. Jackson

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Steven D. Jackson,  
Plaintiff,  
vs.  
Simon Property Group, Incorporated  
a Delaware corporation and DOES 1-  
50, Inclusive,  
Defendants. } Case No.: C 10-02521 JCS  
}  
**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER CHANGING THE HEARING  
DATE FOR DEFENDANT SIMON  
PROPERTY GROUP'S SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT OR, IN THE  
ALTERNATIVE, PARTIAL SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT**

Counsel for the parties stipulate and agree that Defendant Simon Property Group, Incorporated's Motion for Summary Judgment or, in the Alternative, for Partial Summary Judgment scheduled for April 29, 2011, at 9:30 a.m. be re-scheduled to May 13, 2011, at 9:30 a.m.

The re-scheduling of the hearing will allow plaintiff's counsel an opportunity to depose defendant's decision-makers for preparation of plaintiff's opposition to defendant's motion. The depositions will occur on March 31 and April 1, 2011, via tele-conference with the deponents and their counsel in Indianapolis, Indiana and plaintiff's counsel in San Francisco, California.

In arranging the depositions of defendant's decision-makers, a dispute arose between the parties as to the location and dates for the depositions. The defendant's

1 decision-makers' work site is at defendant's corporate headquarters in Indianapolis,  
2 Indiana. Plaintiff had previously set the depositions for March 3 and March 4, 2011;  
3 and then on March 17 and March 21, 2011, in Hayward, California, to which dates and  
4 location defendant did not agree. After several meet-and-confer conferences, the  
5 parties have agreed upon the location and time for the depositions as heretofore stated.

6 In addition, rescheduling the hearing of defendant's motion for summary judgment  
7 will allow defendant's counsel sufficient time to prepare its reply brief. Defendant's lead  
8 counsel, William O. Stein, will be in trial in Los Angeles County Superior Court in Koehn  
9 v. Regency Theatres at the same time defendant's reply is currently due. Koehn was  
10 initially set for trial on March 8, 2011, but was continued.

11 According, counsel for the parties stipulate and agree that Defendant Simon  
12 Property, Incorporated's Motion for Summary Judgment or, in the Alternative, for Partial  
13 Summary Judgment be rescheduled to May 13, 2011, at 9:30 a.m.

14 Dated: March 30, 2011 FRANKLIN EMPLOYMENT LAW GROUP

15 /s/ Eugene T. Franklin  
16 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
17 EUGENE T. FRANKLIN  
BARBARA F. GREEN  
18 Attorneys For Plaintiff

19 Dated: March 30, 2011 EPSTEIN BECKER & GREEN, P. C.

20 /s/ Brooke A. Brown  
21 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
22 WILLIAM O. STEIN  
Brooke A. Brown  
23 Attorneys for Defendant

24 / / /  
25 / / /  
26 / / /  
27

Based on the Stipulation of the parties and GOOD CAUSE appearing:

**IT IS ORDERED:**

That Defendant Simon Property Group, Incorporated's Motion for Summary Judgment or, in the Alternative, for Partial Summary Judgment scheduled for April 29,  
2011, is rescheduled to May 13, 2011, at 9:30 a.m. Plaintiff's opposition shall be e/ filed  
not later than April 22, 2011, and defendant's reply brief shall be e/ filed not later than  
April 29, 2011. The further CMC is rescheduled for 5/20/11 at 9:30 a.m.

Dated: April 1, 2011

